

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,073		06/16/2000	William J. Bologna	254/304	2949
28765	7590	03/22/2004		EXAMINER	
WINSTON			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
PATENT DI 1400 L STR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ron, do	20005-3502	1616		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/596,073	BOLOGNA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alton N. Pryor	1616			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-5,7,10,15,16,19,20 and 23-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,10,15,16,19,20,23-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) [The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

I. Claim objection to New Matter in the Specification will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 12/4/03. Applicant explained that the limitation thought to be new matter by the Examiner are actually in related USPN 6,624,200.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

II. Rejection of claims 1-5,7,10,15,16,19,20,23-34 under 35 USC 103(a) will be maintained for reasons on record and reasons as follows. Applicant has amended claims to 1 and 26 to emphasize the "progressive hydration" property of the instant invention. Examiner addressed the "progressive hydration" property in office action dated 8/22/03. The arguments presented in amendment filed 12/4/03 does not appear to set forth new arguments. Therefore, Examiner maintains his arguments explained in office action dated 8/23/04. The arguments stated in office action dated 8/23/03 are reiterated below.

Rejection of claims 1-5,7,10,15,16,19,20 and 23-34 under 35 USC 103 (a) will be maintained for reasons on record.

Applicant argues that a particular combination of polymers provide extended release and that the polymers are combined in a manner that provides progressive hydration. Applicant argues that Timpe would not be understood to suggest use of the particular polymer combination or their combination to provide progressive hydration. Applicant argues that Timpe's focus and goal --- is release and bioavailability which are completely inconsistent with progressive hydration. Examiner argues that Applicant

does not specify a particular polymer combination, but rather just merely recites a composition comprising 1) a bioadhesive, water-insoluble, water-swellable cross-linked polycarboxylic polymer and 2) a water-soluble polymer. The claim mentions no particular polymer combination (amounts / ratios). Timpe reads on the invention since Timpe also suggests a combination of the same polymers. See column 3 lines 3-9,37-43. In the absence of unexpected results, one having ordinary skill in the art would have expected the prior art composition to have extended release properties and the ability to progressively hydrate.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Patent Examiner

AU 1616